

1
2
3
4
5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6 DISTRICT OF NEVADA
7

8 * * *

9 DAVID ANTHONY DEAN,

Case No. 2:19-cv-00129-GMN-PAL

10 Petitioner,

v.

ORDER

11 STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

12 Respondents.
13
14

15 This is a proceeding in which this court entered a final judgment against the
16 petitioner on February 1, 2019. ECF No. 5. Since then, petitioner has filed numerous
17 documents in this case, most of which are illegible and/or incoherent. Only one of them
18 requires the court's attention.

19 On February 4, 2019, petitioner filed motion to consolidate this case with a case
20 petitioner filed in this court several years ago -- 2:04-CV-1285-PMP-PAL. ECF No. 7.
21 That case was dismissed for failure to state a claim. As in this case, however, petitioner
22 persisted in filing random documents. Judge Pro eventually entered an order stating in
23 part:

24 On March 2, 2006, the Court ordered that it no longer will accept or
25 consider any documents or letters filed by Plaintiff David Anthony Dean in
26 this case, and the Court instructed the Clerk of Court to strike or return
27 any submissions by Plaintiff. (Order (Doc. #216).) On July 5, 2013, the
28 Court again ordered that it no longer will accept or consider

1 any documents or letters filed by Plaintiff in this case. (Order (Doc. #233).)
2 Despite the Court's Orders, Plaintiff has persisted in sending various
3 documents to the Court. The Court reminds Plaintiff that pursuant to its
4 previous Orders (Doc. ##216, 233), the Court does not, and will not,
5 accept or consider any documents or letters filed by Plaintiff in this case.
6 Plaintiff further is advised that the Clerk of Court no longer will return his
7 submissions via U.S. Mail.

8 ECF No. 234, 2:04-CV-1285-PMP-PAL.


9 Given the similarities between the two cases, the court will grant petitioner's
10 motion to consolidate. Accordingly, Judge Pro's order shall remain in effect and apply to
11 any further documents filed under case number 2:19-cv-00129-GMN-PAL

12 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that petitioner's motion to consolidate (ECF No.
13 7) is GRANTED.

14 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of Court shall not accept or file
15 any submissions by petitioner in this case and that the Clerk of Court shall not return
16 any submissions by petitioner. No further action is required with respect to the
17 consolidation of the cases.

18 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any other pending motion filed by petitioner is
19 DENIED.

20 DATED THIS 15 day of March, 2019.

21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE